Appln. No.: 10/015,423

Amdt. Dated February 24, 2005

Reply to Office Action dated December 14, 2004

Remarks/Arguments

Reconsideration of this application is requested.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-17 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0100144 A1.

The Examiner has provisionally rejected claims 1-17 under 35 USC §101 claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-17 of copending Application No. 2003/0110144 A1

Applicant has filed simultaneously herewith a Terminal Disclaimer To Obviate A Provisional Double Patenting Rejection Over A Pending "Reference" Application.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the claims of this application are now in a condition for allowance and favorable action thereon is requested.

Respectfully submitted.

Ronald Reichman Reg. No. 26,796

Attorney of Record

Telephone (203) 924-3854

PITNEY BOWES INC. Intellectual Property and Technology Law Department 35 Waterview Drive P.O. Box 3000 Shelton, CT 06484-8000